UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,734	09/30/2004	Lee George LABORCZFALVI	2006579-0244 (CXT-110)	5733
	7590	EXAMINER		
TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE			WEI, ZHENG	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/711,734	LABORCZFALVI ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
Keview	Hyung S. Sough	2194	
	-	-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review	w filed 11/17/2009.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s): 	a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurred ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-A ☐ Other: 	ew is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer held. The application remains under appeal because there is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 3 brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decisi running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whicheve appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upo of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	e is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 7 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ion, or the balance of the two-month time period is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16 and 18-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	n(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been he Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rema applicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been heleaction will be mailed. No further action is required by app	
All participants:	
(1) <u>Hyung S. Sough</u> .	3) <u>Tuan Q. Dam</u> .
(2) <u>Zheng Wei</u> .	4)
/Hyung S. Sough/ SPE, Art Unit 2194	

12/14/09